Synthesizing Turbulent Channel Flow

A Proposed Form of Solution to Steady Turbulence in Channels

Dr. John William Poduska, Sr.

Abstract: There has long been a need for a fully theoretical basis for describing turbulent flows. We propose a formal representation of the random velocities using a few ordinary smooth non-random functions together with an ordinary Stochastic Integral. We call this the *Gaussian Transform* or *GXF*. For example, for **3D** Channel Flow, we need only two **CDF**'s (Cumulative Distribution Functions) and four ordinary correlation functions. The simpler case of **2D** Channel Flow requires only one **CDF** and one correlation function. Decaying Isotropic Turbulence (**HIT**) requires only one **CDF** and two correlations functions. We will develop these functions for **2D** Channel Flow; we will describe how to compute them numerically from **DNS** results; we will demonstrate the synthesis of multiple realizations of the flow; and we will apply the **NSE** to this representation to come to a full solution to **2D** Channel Flow.

Keywords: Theoretical Turbulence, Multidimensional Stochastic Integrals, Ritz-Galerkin approximation, Metalog **CDF** approximations, Stationary Ergodic Stochastic Processes

1 Introduction

This paper is divided into two main parts and a conclusion section:

First a theoretical development of the **GXF** method for **2D** Channel Flows with adequate (perhaps heuristic) rigor. We will use the **CDF**; the corresponding quantile functions; and then the corresponding normal random variable. From this we can compute the kernel of the *Wiener Stochastic Convolution Integral*.

Second, we determine numerically the two functions using **DNS** results. The **CDF** is obtained from recorded velocities **u**[**i**,**x**,**y**,**t**] (Python notation) by simple algebra or perhaps metalog mechanisms, thence the numerical quantile function, thence the numerical Auto-Correlation, thence the kernel of the Wiener Integral.

In conclusion, we discuss analytic representation of the **CDF** and auto-correlation functions. These can be plugged into the **NSE** and optimized using (say) Ritz-Galerkin methods for a theoretical solution to this **2D** Channel Flow Problem. There are many more flow situations which are amenable to this analysis, including decaying flows, **MFD** flows, compressible flows, and many other internal and external flows.

2 Developing the Gaussian Transform

Consider **2D** Channel Flow with the usual assumptions: Newtonian Fluid, no-slip walls, incompressible, obeying the Navier Stokes equations, etc. The flow is stable, stationary on \mathbf{x} (stream-wise) and \mathbf{t} . Reynold's averaging applies, i.e., the flow is "*ergodic*" on \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{t} .

To illustrate the process: place a velocity probe at some point $\{x_0, y_0\}$ and record the velocity u(t) against time. This random $u(t, \alpha)$ (including a sampling parameter α) has a smooth CDF so that:

$$\Pr[u(t,\alpha) \le \lambda] = CDF(\lambda) \qquad \text{Smooth Strictly Increasing} \\ q(t,\alpha) = CDF(u(t,\alpha)) \qquad \text{Derived Quantile RV, has linear cdf} \\ \phi(t,\alpha) = \Psi^{-1}(q(t,\alpha)) \qquad \text{Derived Normal RV, has Normal cdf} \qquad (1) \\ \phi(t,\alpha) = \int \Phi(t-\tau) dr(\tau,\alpha) \qquad \text{Wiener Stochastic Convolution Integral} \end{cases}$$

Here $\Psi^{-1}(\lambda)$ is the inverse Normal distribution. The $\Phi(\tau)$ kernel is determined uniquely from the auto-correlation of $\mathbf{u}[t,\alpha]$. Thus, given a CDF and an auto-correlation function, any stationary ergodic random variable can be synthesized by reversing the process of equation (1) thus:.

$$\phi(t,\alpha) = \int \Phi(t-\tau) dr(\tau,\alpha) \quad \text{Wiener Stochastic Convolution Integral} q(t,\alpha) = \Psi(\phi(t,\alpha)) \quad \text{Generated Normal-Quantile RV, has linear cdf}$$
(2)
$$u(t,\alpha) = CDF^{-1}(q(t,\alpha)) \quad \text{Generated Physical RV, has physical cdf}$$

By a straightforward extension, 2D Channel Flow can be synthesized as follows

$$\phi(x, y, t, \alpha) = \int \Phi(x - \varepsilon, t - \tau, y) dr(\varepsilon, \tau, \alpha)$$
 2D Wiener Integral, Normal RV

$$q(x, y, t, \alpha) = \Psi(\phi(x, y, t, \alpha))$$
Generated Normal-Quantile RV

$$s(x, y, t, \alpha) = CDF^{-1}(q(x, y, t, \alpha), y)$$
Generated Physical Function RV

$$u^{x}(x, y, t, \alpha) = -\partial_{y} s(x, y, t, \alpha)$$
u is "curl" of s

$$u^{y}(x, y, t, \alpha) = +\partial_{x} s(x, y, t, \alpha)$$
(3)

So, we claim, 2D channel turbulence is completely described by these two functions: $CDF(\lambda,y)$ and $\Phi(x,t,y)$

The extension to **3D** Channel Flow turbulence is straightforward but complex in detail. The **3D** case requires two $CDF(\lambda, y)$ and four $\Phi(x, t, z, y)$.

3 Using the Gaussian Transform Numerically and Analytically

A robust **DNS** run for **2D** Channel Flow turbulence will provide the data for a numerical description of both the $CDF(\lambda,y)$ and $\Phi(x,t,y)$. There is much work to be done to compute these two functions over all y-values. And then for several **Re** values. But the results will allow synthesis of any realization of the flow.

Also, the **CDF**'s can be approximated by a variety of techniques – most promising is *Metalog* (see Wikipedia) with a few parameters. And the auto-correlation functions can be approximated by a sum of Hermite Functions or other rapidly decreasing functions – hopefully with a few parameters. This will give good insight into the structure of both functions against y-values and **Re**-values.

A third prospect is this: construct the approximation just above (or better); insert into the **NSE** and minimize the mean-square of the residual – a *Ritz-Galerkin* model. Hopefully a few parameters will suffice, and the results will be close to the **DNS** results.

Much more to be said. Many results forthcoming.

4 Conclusion and Future Work

We have shown that there is an *Analytic Representation of Stationary Turbulent Channel Flows*. We have outlined the construction of this mechanism using **2D** Channel Flow as an exemplar. The methods apply to a variety of internal and external flows.

We will continue the investigation using 2D Channel Flows to determine dependence on **Re** and to develop better approximations to $CDF(\lambda,y)$ and $\Phi(x,t,y)$. Ultimately – time and energy permitting – we will apply the NSE to this formulation most likely using a Ritz-Galerkin best fit technique.

References

- 1. J. Poduska, "Random Theory of Turbulence" Doctoral Dissertation MIT 1962
- 2. J. Poduska, www.randomtheoryofturbulence.com personal website, infrequently maintained
- 3. N. Wiener, "Nonlinear Problems in Random Theory" MIT Press 1958
- 4. J. Lumley, "Statistic Tools in Turbulence" Dover Publications 1970, 1998
- 5. A. Yaglom, "Stationary Random Functions" Dover Publications 1962, 1973

(830 words)