Investigating the effect of anisotropic mesh adaptation on resolving aerodynamic force coefficients for atmospheric entry simulations.

D. Ekelschot and J.M. Brock
Corresponding author: dirk.ekelschot@nasa.gov

Analytical Mechanics Associates, Inc.
at NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035.

Abstract:
The aim here is to improve the prediction of the dynamic stability of atmospheric entry capsule geometries by determining what the effect is of improving the mesh resolution in critical parts of the computational domain. We use the recently developed metric-based mesh adaptation capability [1] in the context of Detached Eddy Simulations (DES) carried out using the advanced compressible flow solver US3D [2]. The metric-based mesh adaptation capability [1] enables us to improve both the resolution of small-scale turbulent eddies in the wake, and highly anisotropic features like the strong bow shock and the shear layers. As a test case we consider the Mars 2020 aeroshell that landed on Mars in July of 2020. We intend to compare our simulation results at different mesh refinement levels with the available pressure data that was collected using Mars Entry, Descent, and Landing Instrumentation 2 (MEDLI2).

Keywords: Anisotropic mesh adaptation, Computational Fluid Dynamics, Atmospheric entry simulations.

1 Problem statement

Atmospheric entry capsules experience a wide range of flow conditions during their entry trajectory moving from hypersonic velocities down to low transonic and subsonic velocities when the probe lands on the planets' surface. These entry capsules tend to become dynamically unstable in the low supersonic regime ($Ma < 2.5$) towards the end of the atmospheric entry trajectory. In this work, we use the advanced compressible flow solver US3D [2] to simulate the aerodynamics that drive this unstable behavior during the vehicles free-flying descent phase.

The recently developed metric-based mesh adaptation capability [1] allows the US3D user to apply both anisotropic and isotropic mesh refinement to improve the resolution in the vicinity of anisotropic flow features like shock waves and shear layers and isotropic flow phenomena like small-scale turbulent eddies in the wake. The anisotropic error indicator depends on the Hessian of a derived flow quantity, in this case we use the Mach number [3]. In order to incorporate isotropic wake refinement we compute the Turbulent Kinetic Energy (TKE) and use this quantity to blend in an isotropic contribution to the total metric tensor field [1]. In Figure 1 two examples

(a) Mesh based on the Mach Hessian.

(b) Instantaneous Mach for mesh in Figure 1a.

(c) Mesh based on the Mach Hessian and TKE

(d) Instantaneous Mach for mesh in Figure 1c.

Figure 1: Comparing mesh adaptation based on Mach number with mesh adaptation based on the combination of Mach number and TKE [1].

are shown of anisotropic mesh adaptation based on the Mach number, shown in Figure 1a and Figure 1b, and the combination of anisotropic mesh adaptation with isotropic refinement based on the TKE, shown in Figure 1c and Figure 1d. Since we are able to adapt both isotropically and anisotropically, we intend to address which are the critical parts of the computational domain contributing to the error in aerodynamic force coefficients and to which extend we need to resolve small-scale turbulent eddies in order to accurately predict the aerodynamic force coefficients.

2 Planned results

The presentation of this work will cover the application and combination of both anisotropic and isotropic mesh refinement for atmospheric entry simulations. The goal here is to identify the critical parts of the computational domain that contribute most to the error in predicting aerodynamic force coefficients. Subsequently, this work intends to validate the mesh refinement capability by comparing the CFD results at different mesh refinement levels with pressure measurements that were carried out using MEDLI2.

References

[1] D. Ekelschot and J.M. Brock. Enabling metric-based mesh adaptation for advanced compressible flow simulations using US3D. *AIAA Scitech Forum*, AIAA 2022-1866, 2022.

[2] G. V. Candler and H. B. Johnson† and I. Nompelis and P. K. Subbareddy and T. W. Drayna and V. Gidzak and M. D. Barnhardt. Development of the US3D Code for Advanced Compressible and Reacting Flow Simulations. *AIAA Scitech Forum*, AIAA 2015-1893, 2015.

[3] A. Loseille and F. Alauzet. Continuous mesh framework Part 1: Well-posed continuous interpolation error. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, Vol. 49, 1, pp 38-60, 2011.